Does Corporate Governance Affect Financial Reporting Quality? Evidence from Listed Entities in Sri Lanka

South Asian Journal of Business Insights 2022,2(1), 97-121. ISSN 2773-7012(print) ISSN 2773-6997(online) © 2022, Faculty of Management and Finance University of Ruhuna, Sri



Y.M Hewage*

Department of Accounting, University of Colombo, Sri Lanka yashodha@dac.cmb.ac.lk

N.T Amarasekara

Department of Accounting, University of Colombo, Sri Lanka norashaamarasekara971103@gmail.com

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the relationship between selected CG characteristics and the level of FRQ in Sri Lanka. The study was carried out using secondary data obtained through published annual reports from 209 companies listed in the Colombo Stock Exchange (CSE) from 2017 to 2020 including 836 firm-year observations. Six selected CG characteristics (Board Size, Board Independence, CEO Duality, Audit Committee Size, Audit Committee Independence, and Audit Committee Accounting Expertise) and the level of FRQ has been evaluated by the absolute value of discretionary accruals (ADA) using Panel linear regression analysis. It was found that a significant positive relationship between the audit committee accounting expertise and FRQ, while a significant negative relationship was found between Board Size and FRQ. However, the other remaining CG characteristics did not significantly influence the level of FRQ. Overall, this analysis highlights the importance of having a comparatively smaller board size and composition of the members in the audit committee with financial and accounting backgrounds to enhance FRQ and transparency. The findings of this study expect to have a significant policy implication for policymakers and regulators in terms of formulating strategies and policies on CG best practices in Sri Lanka. Similarly, the entities should promote smaller board size and recruiting, especially the majority of independent nonexecutive directors with sufficient accounting skills and financial experience to curtail the adverse earnings management practices to improve FRQ.

Keywords: Corporate Governance, Absolute Value of Discretionary Accruals, Financial Reporting Quality, Colombo Stock Exchange Sri Lanka

^{*} Corresponding Author-yashodha@dac.cmb.ac.lk

Introduction

Corporate Governance (CG) plays a vital role, especially in the process of assuring Financial Reporting Quality (FRQ) (Alzeban, 2019; Cohen, Krishnamoorthy & Wright, 2004). The ultimate purpose of preparing financial reports is to improve the quality of decisions made by all the current and potential investors. However, the users can make quality decisions, only with the availability of quality financial information. Thus, the corporations should certify the financial reporting quality in terms of reliability, relevance, timeliness, and biasness.

According to past studies, several factors could impact the level of FRQ. According to Alzeban (2019), an empirical relationship could be found between the audit committee, CEO, the quality of external audit, and FRQ. Further, Uwuigbe, Felix, Uwuigbe, Teddy, and Irene (2018) found that the board size, board independence, and director's tenure and its impact on the quality of financial reporting. However, the literature disclosed that weak CG leads to a financial crisis. Similarly, less attention on CG characteristics may be the reason for the recent corporate collapses that happened over the globe including Sri Lanka [1]. For example, the Freddie Mac scandal in December 2003, the American International Group scandal in 2005 can be seen as global failures of the companies in the recent past which provides evidence for CG weaknesses. Therefore, studying separately on these governance characteristics is more important to meet the quality of financial reports to satisfy the expectations of current and potential investors.

Although considerable empirical work has been published regarding the relationship between CG and FRQ (Alzoubi, 2014; Johl, Johl, Subramaniam & Cooper, 2013; Phuong & Hung, 2020), the scope of the above studies was different from the current study in terms of context, the tested CG characteristics and the tested time. In this paper, the researchers tried to collectively examine the relationship between six different CG characteristics namely, Board Size, Board Independence, CEO Duality, Audit Committee Size, Audit Committee Independence, Audit Committee Accounting Expertise, and the level of FRQ in Sri Lanka as significantly less recent studies were found in connection to the current scope in the Sri Lankan context. Further, it has been given mixed conclusions by previous empirical studies relating to this area where we are trying to examine the relationship in the local context. Hence the present study contributes to the literature by meeting the research objective of investigating the impact of selected CG characteristics on FRQ in Sri Lanka. Additionally, it will enhance the awareness of board governance and AC characteristics in CG being an important factor in the business arena followed by the corporate managers and Board of Directors (BOD), as they are the major party responsible to the owners of the company. In other words, a high FRQ might result only from the presence of an effective BOD and AC, determined by reference to its independence and expertise. Similarly, this will be useful for the regulatory institutions, policymakers, and professional accounting bodies to rethink the future CG provisions.

Literature Review

Understanding the concept of Corporate Governance (CG)

There is no single definition of CG that can be applied to all situations and jurisdictions. Nevertheless, there are some commonly accepted definitions. The most widely used is "the system by which companies are directed and controlled" (Cadbury Committee, 1992). . Although corporate governance has been exhaustively defined as a mechanism for controlling, leading, and investigating the activities of the firm by promoting corporate fairness, transparency, and accountability to create shareholders' wealth, for this study it would be emphasized on the wider definitions that embrace a set of policies, structures, customs, laws, and procedures which define the controlling and administrating of owner's resources (Onuorah & Chi-Chi, 2016). Despite the different definitions of the concept of CG, there is a commonly accepted rationale behind the emergence of that notion; the 'Agency Problem' (Berle & Means, 1932; Jensen & Meckling, 1976). The agency problem aroused due to the separation of ownership and management in large corporations, where the managers (agents) try to maximize their benefits at the cost of owners (principals).

When considering the CG in the Sri Lankan context, it has become significant during the last two decades due to happening some isolated incidents of corporate failures, certain economic reforms, and a series of recent scandals (i.e. Pramuka Bank, Vanik Corporation, Trading suspension of Entrust PLC, and Swarnamahal Finance PLC) in Sri Lanka. The first Sri Lanka code of best practices on CG was introduced in 1997 by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Sri Lanka (CASL) to deal with Sri Lankan listed companies. It was based on the Anglo-Saxon model of corporate governance and was a blueprint of the Cadbury code (1992). The 1997 code was replaced by the CASL code of best practices which was introduced in March 2003 based on the Hampel report (1998). During the year 2008, the standards of CG were introduced into the CSE listing rules and made as mandatory compliance for listed companies in Sri Lanka by developing a joint initiative of CASL and SEC (Colombo stock exchange, 2008). Subsequently, certain revisions for the code of CG best practices have been made by CASL and SEC over a period to incorporate with recent global developments (Senaratne & Gunaratne, 2008; Weerasinghe et al., 2017).

Accordingly, the Sri Lankan listed companies are now delt with a comprehensive model of corporate governance, which represents a mixture of both mandatory (companies act 2007, CSE listing rules, SEC directives and codes, CBSL directives for banks and financing companies) and voluntary (code of best practices of CG – CASL 2017) rules of corporate governance.

Understanding the concept of Financial Reporting Quality (FRQ)

Financial reporting in its ideal sense is the first source of independent communication about the management performance which helps investors, creditors, and other users to assess the basis for economic decision making on providing resources to the entity. The publicly held firms evaluate their financial position and performance through financial reporting information generated as a major outcome of the external reporting system. Although financial as well as non-financial disclosers might be communicated in different means, the traditional financial statements are always the most significant reports (Alzoubi, 2014; Paulinus et al., 2017; Trai, Kha, Trung & Dung, 2018).

Quality is a broad concept that can be measured comparatively. According to Cohen et al. (2004), the past researchers show a vague concept of financial reporting quality. In light of these, Cooray, Gunarathne, and Senarathne (2020) suggested that the concept of FRQ is an important aspect for its related parties to assure that financial reports, disclosers, and other non-financial reports minimize any asymmetry of information and improve the usefulness of decision making. Cohen et al. (2004) argued that the auditors, audit committee participants, and managers are now struggling with defining financial reporting quality. So, accounting literature has much focused on the factors such as earnings management, financial reports, rather than defining FRQ.

Consequently, the latest research studies, are aware of the two general perspectives that are widely emphasized in the assessment of FRQ. The first perspective focuses on providing useful financial information to the users whereas, the second perspective relies on the sufficiency of the information provided to the users in order to guarantee the protection of investors (Paulinus et al., 2017). The stakeholders of the entity have different and possibly conflicting information needs. Thus, the perspectives on the evaluation of financial reporting quality are also varying accordingly. As a result, this study almost puts effort to concentrate on FRQ in terms of the accruals model that will be considered as a comprehensive measurement tool for financial reporting quality.

Theoretical background

The concept of CG originated with multiple theories. The most prominent two theories considered to explain the agency conflicts and the need for CG are Agency theory and Stakeholder theory. They can be viewed as two main theories amongst many other applicable theories such as Resource dependence theory and Stewardship theory. Agency theory is the predominant theory since it is considered to be given birth to the concept of CG. However, all of them can be treated as different lenses to see the concept of CG from different and interesting angles. The particular angle or the perspective of CG from which looking at will decide the appropriateness of a more suitable theory.

Agency Theory was defined in two aspects as, the economic perspective (Ross, 1973) and the institutional perspective (Mitnick, 1973). Costs associated with a lack of goal congruence between two parties were brought to the fore by Ross (1973) and were further explored by

Jensen & Meckling (1976). The separation of ownership and management provides the opportunity for management (agents) to act in their self-interest by maximizing their wealth and power at the expense of the owners (principals) (Fama, 1980; Jensen & Meckling, 1976). Since this relationship is not harmonious; indeed, so-called agency conflicts or conflicts of interest between agents and principals arise. This is known as the "Agency Problem". Hence, the companies try to limit this agency problem through solid and effective CG policies such as including independent non-executive directors to the board, forming an audit committee to ensure fair management, and establishing two separate positions for CEO and chairman (Uwuigbe et al., 2018; Weerasinghe et al., 2017). Thus, such kind of adequate control mechanisms should be established along with good corporate governance to direct the behavior of the managers and to compel them to act in the best interests of the shareholders

Stakeholder theory founded by Freeman (1984) emphasizes the existence of different stakeholder groups of a corporation and therefore, the necessity of serving the interests of all stakeholders. This theory suggests that the companies have a social responsibility to restructure the CG framework, apart from the owner-manager relationship, and identify each set of interest groups (Paulinus et al., 2017). In stakeholder theory, the principal-agent problem has been further widened, because of concerning the interests of multiple principals as central to the sustainability of the business firm. Compared with the agency theory, this theory demonstrates corporate governance in a holistic view, as a control mechanism created for efficient operations of a firm (Manawaduge, 2012). According to Weerasinghe et al. (2017), CG best practices, as the stakeholder theory point of view, should protect the interests of broad stakeholders and not only shareholders.

Resource dependence theory views a firm as an open system, dependent upon external organizations and environmental contingencies (Pfeffer & Salancik, 1978). According to the resource dependency theory, a larger number of directors on the board might be beneficial for high-quality financial reporting. Larger the size of the board directors in the board may give more opportunities than smaller boards. Similarly, agency theory also supports the Board Independence and CEO duality which will also have a positive impact on FRQ. Regarding audit committee characteristics and FRQ, the resource dependency theory presumes that the audit committee serves as a source of advice and counsel for the BOD to bring valuable resources to the organization (Zábojníková, 2016) and the have a positive relationship between audit committee characteristics and FRQ. When a small AC lacks with diversified skills and expertise provided by a large audit committee, it would make them ineffective. An audit committee with an appropriate amount of members allows them to make use of their knowledge for stakeholders' advantage (Goodstein, Gautam, & Boeker, 1994).

Stewardship Theory presents a contrasting view to Agency theory, which is 'managers are essentially trustworthy individuals and therefore good stewards of the resources entrusted to them' (Donaldson, 1990; Donaldson & Davis, 1991, 1994). Donaldson and Davis (1991) state that 'managers are principally motivated by achievement and responsibility needs'; and once

South Asian Journal of Business Insights

they are given the responsibility, self-directed work, organizations may be better served to free-managers under non-executive director dominated boards. Accordingly, Stewardship theory suggests that managers are naturally trustworthy; thus, there will be no major agency costs (Donaldson & Davis, 1994). It assumes that in general, managers want to do a good job and will act as effective stewards of an organization's resources. As a result, senior management and shareholders are better seen as partners. Hence, the main function of the board is not to ensure managerial compliance with shareholders'/members' interests as per agency theory, but to improve organizational performance.

Empirical Evidence between Corporate Governance and FRQ

The impact of CG characteristics on FRQ has been comprehended through several prior studies (Abdulmalik & Ahmad, 2016; Amrah & Obaid, 2019; Sepasi, Deilami & Tavakoli, 2017). However, contradictions in the literature were found in various CG attributes and their subcomponents. When investigating the relationship between Board Size (BDSIZE) and FRQ variety of views was highlighted. In line with the agency theory, companies with large director boards boost the transparency and credibility of the accounting data by acting as a strong controlling mechanism (Phuong et al., 2020; Shah, Rashid & Shahzad, 2019). In contrast, Hassan (2011) and Paulinus et al. (2017) have expressed that large board size misplaced the strong governance as they have a lower level of coordination and a higher probability of issues related to free-riders. Further, in comparison to larger boards, smaller boards will foster a high degree of communication and be more active in timely decision-making. This is justified by the studies of Chalaki, Didar, and Riahinezhad (2012) and Chiedu (2014) which emphasize the negative association between board size and financial reporting quality measured through discretionary accruals.

Secondly, when analyzing the literature on Board Independence (BDIND) and FRQ, plenty of studies (Alzoubi 2014; Ibrahim & Jehu, 2018) have shown a significant positive relationship between the board independence and FRQ which suggest independent members of BODs voluntarily disclose more relevant information on corporate financial administration and thereby indirectly serving to maximize shareholders value. Inversely, Chalaki et al. (2012) and Onuorah et al. (2016) have proved that there is no such relationship between board independence and financial information quality in their studies, where the independent directors are less competent as they possess limited information on the company's operation and their presence on the board may have no impact on FRQ.

CEO duality emphasizes the situation where one person simultaneously carries the positions of both chairman of the board and CEO. Agency theory advocated the importance of separation between these two persons to ensure better management and satisfy the shareholders' interests (Shah et al., 2019). Alzoubi (2014) has stated that CEO duality has negatively impact on financial reporting quality measured through abnormal accruals, as it damages the firm value and sustainability of the firm in long run. Nevertheless, other studies

have indicated CEO duality improves the firm value because of bringing an extra power to CEO to mitigate the ambiguity in decision making. Trai et al. (2018) has focused on the positive relationship between CEO duality and FRQ as it minimizes the extra cost which arises due to the separation of two roles.

Considering the Audit committee and FRQ, theoretically as per the resource dependency theory, larger audit committee size (ACSIZE) higher the resourcefulness of the entity which improves FRQ. This is due to having a wider knowledge base and varied expertise members in the committee. Accordingly, Eyenubo, Mohammed and Ali (2017), Shankaraiah and Amiri (2017) has shown a positive relationship between the variable of audit committee size and FRQ. On the other hand, some studies (Majiyebo, Okpanachi, Nyor, Yahaya, & Mohammed, 2018) have suggested larger audit committees are more likely to increase risk of material misstatements by depicting a negative relationship among audit committee size and FRQ. As a result, many regulatory bodies such as Blue-Ribbon committee in US (1999), ASX corporate governance council in Australia (2003), and combined code in UK (2008) have recommended, at least three members as the appropriate size for the audit committee.

Audit committee independence is a foremost characteristic influencing committee competence and effectiveness in managing the financial information process. The presence of an audit committee with a majority of independent directors ensures a strong controlling mechanism by reducing the agency cost, improving the internal controls, and thereby paving the way to high-quality disclosures. The extant literature provides evidence that firms with a higher proportion of independent directors report lower discretionary accruals, thus enhancing overall FRQ (Hasan, Kassim & Hamid, 2020; Kibiya, Che-Ahmad & Amran, 2016). However, Majiyebo et al. (2018) and Shah et al. (2019) in their studies, have documented that role of the independent audit committee is rather limited in controlling and monitoring the management behavior over reducing earnings manipulation and therefore present an adverse association between audit committee independence and FRQ.

It is very crucial to have audit committee members with in-depth knowledge and experience on accounting standards and auditing procedures to improve the credibility of financial statements, hence enhancing the FRQ more effectively. This characteristic of the audit committee has received considerable attention in the previous literature that indicates a positive relationship between audit committee financial, accounting expertise, and FRQ (Alzeban 2019; Hassan 2011; Nuraini 2015). In contrast, some studies have found an insignificant relationship which argues that having a financial expert in the audit committee is not enough component for improving the quality of financial information (Hasan et al., 2020; Kibiya et al., 2016). According to Shankaraiah et al. (2017), some accounting experts in the audit committee assess the subjective judgments of the management, meet more frequently, and more often disagree with the financial reporting decisions of the management, and finally result in inadequate monitoring over the reporting process. Considering the empirical evidence in the local context, there is a dearth of studies on CG and FRQ in Sri Lanka due to the nature of the emerging economy. Kankanamge (2015) has studied the impact of board characteristics on earnings management measured using discretionary accruals model, in Sri Lanka during the period from 2012-2015 and found that there is a significant relationship between board size, board independence, board financial expertise, and earnings management of the firms and thereby enhancing the FRQ and transparency. Further, Kankanamge and Shantha (2015) in their study, have documented the impact of audit committee characteristics (audit committee size, independence, meetings, financial expertise) on FRQ which results in a strong negative relationship between these characteristics and discretionary accruals. According to De Silva, Manawaduge, and Ajward (2017) audit committee financial and accounting expertise has a negative relationship on the level of earnings management, while other selected corporate governance characteristics have not any influence on the level of absolute discretionary accruals. Moreover, Cooray et al. (2020) has emphasized the effect of governance mechanisms on the quality of integrated reporting that shows plenty of mixed evidence between corporate governance components and qualitative characteristics of integrated reporting. (See Appendix A for a summary of the empirical evidence.)

When exploring the empirical evidence in the global and local context, contradictory mixed results were found on the relationship between CG characteristics and FRQ. Hence, the current study focuses on filling the empirical gap through further studying on the same area by introducing six independent variables (Board Size, Board Independence, CEO Duality, Audit Committee Size, Audit Committee Independence, and Audit Committee Accounting Expertise) and the level of FRQ in Sri Lanka.

Research Methodology

Data collection and Sampling

Data were collected by the researchers to excel spreadsheet and analyzed using E-views software. Annual reports of companies downloaded from the CSE website were used excluding the banks, finance companies, and insurance companies as these industries are strictly regulated and apply different methods in their financial reporting including fundamentally different cash flows and accrual processes. The sample consisted of 209 companies listed in CSE during 2017 and 2020. The stratified random sampling technique was used to select the companies for the sample. 51 companies were excluded because of missing information relating to key variables. Table I shows the composition of the sample of the study.

	Tab	ole I: The sa	mple presenta	ition	
Description	2017	2018	2019	2020	Pooled

			1.11	i lewage allu i	N. I AIIIai asekai
Companies listed in	296	284	289	286	1,155
CSE.	(15)	(16)	(16)	(16)	(63)
Less: Banks	(51)	(50)	(53)	(53)	(207)
Less: Diversified					
Financials	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)	(44)
Less: Insurance					
Study population	219	207	209	206	841
Relevant sample size					260
(According to Morgan					
table)					
Less: Missing data	(14)	(15)	(11)	(11)	(51)
Final sample					209

Y.M Hewage and N.T Amarasekara

Hypotheses Development

Companies with large director boards boost the transparency and credibility of the accounting data by acting as a strong controlling mechanism. Most of the literature (Phuong et al., 2020; Shah, Rashid & Shahzad, 2019) supports the argument that a higher board size would be preferred for a lesser board size to generate high-quality financial reporting. Similarly, it was evident that the independent members of BODs voluntarily disclose more relevant information on corporate financial administration and thereby indirectly serve to maximize shareholders' value (Alzoubi 2014; Ibrahim & Jehu, 2018) which ultimately supports a positive impact on FRQ. Considering the CEO duality, agency theory also advocated the importance of separation between these two persons to ensure better management and satisfying the shareholders' interests (Shah et al., 2019). Hence, with the support of these arguments in the literature, the first three hypotheses were developed as follows.

H1: There is a significantly positive relationship between board size and FRQ (Chalaki et al., 2012; Phuong et al., 2020).

H2: There is a significantly positive relationship between board independence and FRQ (Ibrahim et al., 2018).

H3: There is a significantly positive relationship between CEO duality and FRQ (Shah et al., 2019; Trai et al., 2018).

Based on the prior literature, the audit committee is considered as an additional internal governance mechanism whose influence may improve the quality of financial reporting. In this

South Asian Journal of Business Insights

respect, an audit committee has three main characteristics that should be taken into consideration, these are; audit committee expertise, audit committee size, and independence. Hence, in the study, we consider those three characteristics will have a positive impact on FRQ. However, as stated in the literature review section, some studies proved the contrary situations. Hence, we believe it is necessary to test these three hypotheses to discover the relationship between audit committee size, independence, expertise attributes, and FRQ in the Sri Lankan context.

Therefore, with the support of the literature, AC characteristics (Cooray et al., 2020; De Silva et al., 2017; Kankanamge et al., 2015; Shankaraiah et al., 2017) following hypotheses were designed.

H4: There is a significant positive relationship between audit committee size and FRQ (Eyenubo et al., 2017; Shankaraiah et al., 2017).

H5: There is a significant positive relationship between audit committee independence and FRQ (Hasan et al., 2020).

H6: There is a significant positive relationship between audit committee accounting expertise and FRQ (Alzeban 2019; Madhurangi and Abeygunasekera 2021).

Conceptualization

The study has adopted the following basis of measurement presented in Table 2, as proxies of quantifying each governance component, FRQ, and control variables.

		Table 2: O	perationalization	
Key	Variables	Definition	Measurement	Extant Studies
Concept				
Independe	Board Size	Number of	Total number of board	(Chalaki et al.,
nt	(BDSIZE)	directors serving	of directors	2012; Onuorah
variables -		on the board		et al., 2016)
CG	Board	Number of	Total number of	(Ibrahim et al.,
characteri	Independen	independent	independent non-	2018)
stics	ce	directors serving	executive on the board	
	(BDIND)	on the board		
(Board	CEO	One person	Coded as "0 director"	(Alzoubi 2014;
Structure	Duality	simultaneously	if CEO and chairman	Trai et al.,
and Audit	(CEODUL)	occupies the	roles are separated and	2018)
Committe	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	positions of both	"I" otherwise	
e)		chairman and		
		CEO		

Table 2: Operationalization

Y.M Hewage and N.T Amarasekara

	Audit Committee Size (ACSIZE) Audit Committee Independen ce (ACIND)	Number of members constituting the audit committee Number of independent directors who comprise the audit committee	Total number of members in the audit committee Total number of independent non- executive directors on the audit committee	(Kankanamge et al., 2015; Shankaraiah et al., 2017) (Cooray et al., 2020; De Silva et al., 2017)
	Audit Committee Accounting Expertise (ACAEXP)	Number of members with finance / accounting expertise on the audit committee	Total number of members with finance or / and accounting background in the audit committee	(De Silva et al., 2017)
Dependen t variable FRQ	Absolute Discretiona ry Accruals (ADA)	Discretionary accruals ignoring the signs (positive or negative)	Absolute value of discretionary accruals measured using Modified Jones model (see the section below on determining FRQ)	(Alzeban 2019; De Silva et al., 2017)
Control variables -	Firm Size (FSIZE)	Natural logarithm of sales	Log (Revenue)	(De Silva et al., 2017; Trai et al., 2018)
Firm size and leverage	Leverage (LEV)	Ratio of total debt to total assets	Total liabilities divided by total assets	(Hasan et al., 2020; Johl et al., 2013; Kibiya et al., 2016)

Model Specification-Determining Financial Reporting Quality

Among accounting-related measures of FRQ, accruals earnings quality is very important in reflecting the performance of the organization and thereby produces more relevant financial information. The dependent variable of the study is FRQ, measured as the absolute value of the discretionary accruals. The absolute value of discretionary accruals depicts a negative relationship with FRQ. Therefore, when the absolute value increases, the magnitude of earnings management raises hence the FRQ decreases. Much prior research has applied the accrual-based earnings management technique when evaluating the association between corporate governance and FRQ (Alzeban 2019; Alzoubi 2014; De Silva et al., 2017).

Different models can be used to estimate discretionary accruals, and Dechow et al. (1995) provide evidence that the modified Jones model is the most powerful compared to other existing models to observe FRQ. Accordingly, the absolute value of discretionary accruals is derived based on the study of De Silva et al. (2017) as follows:

In the first stage, the total accruals (TA) are estimated, and then the non-discretionary accruals (NDA) are subtracted, thereby yielding the discretionary part of TA.

Step I - The total accruals are estimated by subtracting net cash flows from operating activities from net income as the cash flow approach.

$$TA_{i,t} = NI_{i,t} - CFO_{i,t}$$
(01)

Step 2 - The calculation of the coefficient parameters separately for each industry (industry average α values) by regressing equation 2 (modified Jones model) below.

$$TA_{i,t} / A_{i,t-1} = \alpha_1 (I / A_{i,t-1}) + \alpha_2 (\Delta REV_{i,t} - \Delta REC_{i,t} / A_{i,t-1}) + \alpha_3 (PPE_{i,t} / A_{i,t-1}) + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$
(02)

Step 3 - Assigning the above calculated industry average α values to each of the firm year variables and then calculating non-discretionary accruals for each firm year separately.

NDA_{i,t} / A_{i,t-1} =
$$\alpha_1$$
 (1 / A_{i,t-1}) + α_2 (Δ REV_{i,t} - Δ REC_{i,t} / A_{i,t-1}) + α_3 (PPE_{i,t} / A_{i,t-1}) (03)

Step 4 - The discretionary accruals are determined by subtracting non-discretionary accruals (calculated under equation 3) from total accruals (calculated under equation 1).

$$\mathsf{D}\mathsf{A}_{i,t} / \mathsf{A}_{i,t-1} = \mathsf{T}\mathsf{A}_{i,t} / \mathsf{A}_{i,t-1} - \mathsf{N}\mathsf{D}\mathsf{A}_{i,t} / \mathsf{A}_{i,t-1}$$
(04)

Where:

TA_{i,t} = Total Accruals of the firm i for the year t

NI_{i,t} = Net Income before discontinued segments of the firm i for the year t

CFO_{i,t} = Net cash Flows from operating activities of the firm i for the year t

 Δ REV_{i, t}= Change in revenue for the firm i from year t-1 to t

 Δ REC _{i,t} = Change in receivables for the firm i from year t-l to t

 $PPE_{i,t}$ = Value of the property, plant, and equipment of the firm i for the year t

A_{i,t-1} = Total assets for the firm i at the end of year t-1

NDA_{i,t} = Non-discretionary Accruals for firm i in year t

DA_{i,t} = Discretionary Accruals for firm i in year t

 $\epsilon_{i,t}$ = Residual for firm i in year t

Regression model

The dependent variable of this study "financial reporting quality" (FRQ) is approximated by the Absolute discretionary accruals (ADA). The increase in ADA represents a lower level of FRQ and vice versa. To investigate the impact of CG characteristics on FRQ, a regression model has been established following De Silva et al. (2017). We have employed the below multiple regression models using E View statistical package.

 $ADA_{i,t} = \alpha + \beta_1 BDSIZE_{i,t} + \beta_2 BDIND_{i,t} + \beta_3 CEODUL_{i,t} + \beta_4 ACSIZE_{i,t} + \beta_5 ACIND_{i,t} + \beta_6 ACAEXP_{i,t} + \beta_7 FSIZE_{i,t} + \beta_8 LEV_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t}$ (05)

Where:

LEV

ADA _{i,t}	= Absolute discretionary accruals measured using Modified Jones model
BDSIZE _{i,t}	= Number of board of directors
BDIND _{i,t}	= Number of independent non-executive directors on the board
CEODUL _{i,t}	= Coded as "I" if CEO and chairman roles are separated, and "0" otherwise
ACSIZE _{i,t}	= Number of members in the audit committee
ACIND _{i,t} committee	= Number of independent non-executive directors on the audit
ACAEXP _{i,t}	= Number of members with finance or/and accounting qualifications in the audit committee
Control variables FSIZE _{i,t}	= Natural logarithm of sales

Before deriving the final output, we have tested the pre-diagnosis tests of the regression analysis. Thereby we tested for Stationary, Normality, Multicollinearity, and Autocorrelation. As per the normality testing, the data set should be well modeled by a normal distribution to use the regression estimates to test the hypotheses. Similarly, one or two extreme residuals cause a rejection of the normality assumption as well. Non-normality occurs due to the nature of the secondary data. Even previous literature has experienced the non-normality issue mostly when the study sample differs from its population. In this research study, a sample of 209 companies has been selected. Therefore, we used the option of adding 3 dummy variables

= Ratio of total debt to total assets

South Asian Journal of Business Insights

analyzing the extreme values using E-views and rectified the normality assumption, and obtained a desired Jarque-Bera test statistic value of 1.0000.

Considering multicollinearity, the VIF results depict that the centered VIF values of all the variables are below 10, thus it was assumed no multicollinearity issues. Furthermore, when determining whether to employ a fixed or random model, the Hausman test was done, and based on the findings of the Hausman Test, the probability value is greater than 0.05. Hence, the random effect of the panel model is used to test the hypotheses.

Discussion of the Results

Descriptive Statistics

Under the descriptive analysis, at first, a descriptive statistic of variables with mean, median, maximum, minimum, standard deviation have been presented in Table 3.

	Table 3: Descriptive Statistics								
	ADA	BD	BD	CEO	AC	AC	ACA	FSIZE	LEV
		SIZE	IND	DUL	SIZE	IND	EXP		
Mean	0.070	8.122	3.134	0.899	3.865	3.981	1.598	24.975	0.457
Median	0.037	8.000	3.000	0.000	3.000	3.000	1.000	22.040	0.489
Maximum	0.954	14.000	7.000	0.000	5.000	5.000	4.000	26.116	0.953
Minimum	3.034	4.000	2.000	0.000	2.000	1.000	1.000	14.145	0.112
Std. Dev.	0.075	2.033	1.064	0.162	0.762	0.678	0.716	1.895	0.226
Skewness	3.856	0.564	0.944	-2.28	0.817	0.546	1.019	-0.421	0.351
Kurtosis	11.366	3.172	3.211	2.113	3.413	3.359	3.133	3.403	2.401
Observations	836	836	836	836	836	836	836	836	836

Note: Definitions of these variables are depicted in table 2

Accordingly, there is an 836 number of observations, and the mean value represents the average value of observations. Considering the mean value, ADA shows 0.070 of average value, BDSIZE represents 8 directors, BDIND represents 3 directors, whereas ACSIZE demonstrates 3 members, ACIND represents 3 members, and ACAEXP represents 1 member. The maximum is the highest value among each of the stated variables and the minimum depicts the lowest value compared with related variables. Standard deviation measures the level of spread from the central value. Accordingly, ADA presents the lowest standard deviation of 0.075, which emphasizes that most of the values are concentrated around the mean value, while

BDSIZE presents the highest standard deviation of 2.033, which describes the values are highly spreading over the mean value.

The normal distribution of the observations is measured through skewness and kurtosis of the descriptive analysis. In light of this study, the majority of the variables illustrate a right-skewed distribution indicating most of the companies record values of each variable less than its mean value. Moreover, this study demonstrates a leptokurtic distribution for all of its dependent and independent variables that represent most of the values are closely gathered around the average/mean value.

Correlation									
	ADA	ACAEXP	ACIND	ACSIZE	BDSIZE	BDIND	CEODUL	FSIZE	LEV
ADA	I								
ACAEXP	- 0.65256 3	I							
	0.00310 2								
ACIND	- 0.02715 8	0.27974 I	I						
ACSIZE	0.811 0.00876 3 0.04762	0 0.34482 6 0	 0.64200 7 0	I 					
BDSIZE	0.05811 4	- 0.01568 5	-0.0233	0.06528 5	I				
	0.3602	0.8051	0.7138	0.3039					
BDIND	- 0.05129 2	0.28826 I	0.53133 3	0.23019 4	-0.12231	I			
	0.4285	0	0	0.0002	0.0534				
CEODUL	0.04043 3	0.20311 7	0.33468 2	0.23073 6	- 0.02132 8	0.57774 6	I		
	0.5246	0.0012	0	0.0002	0.7372	0			
FSIZE	- 0.14252 2	0.06489 6	0.13537 I	- 0.02275 6	- 0.09301 2	0.13986 9	0.129801	I	
	0.0242	0.3068	0.0324	0.7203	0.1425	0.027	0.0403		
LEV	0.07299 2	0.04623 8	0.03253 5	- 0.01030 7	۔ 0.08790 8	0.01644 I	0.099114	0.44237 4	
	0.2502	0.4667	0.6087	0.8712	0.1658	0.7959	0.118	0	

Correlation Analysis

Table 4 presents Pearson correlations of variables in this study. It can be observed that the board size shows a weak positive significant relationship with the absolute discretionary accruals (+0.058) and thereby represents a negative impact on FRQ. However, a weak negative association can be seen between the board independence (-0.051), audit committee independence (-0.027), and the absolute value of discretionary accruals. Thus, it proves when the board and audit committee have more independent directors, financial reporting quality is more likely to improve with lesser board size. CEO duality reports a weak positive relationship (0.040) with discretionary accruals of the study and audit committee size shows a weak significant positive relationship (0.008) with absolute discretionary accruals. Hence, these variables depict a negative relationship with firms' FRQ, as the value of discretionary accruals adversely impacts the quality of financial reporting. Audit committee accounting expertise shows a moderate significant negative relationship (-0.652) with absolute discretionary accruals, thus depicting a positive impact on FRQ.

Further, audit committee accounting expertise is the only variable that represents a systematic relationship with discretionary accruals and none of the other board and audit committee characteristics has depicted a significant relationship with the absolute discretionary accruals at any of the significant levels of p<0.01, p<0.05, p<0.10. However, considering the control variables, it is noted that the firm size shows a significantly weak negative association with absolute discretionary accruals. Finally, leverage presents a weak positive relationship on the absolute discretionary accruals and thereby creating a negative effect on FRQ.

Regression Analysis

l able 5: Regression Analysis						
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.		
С	0.362	0.090	2.054	0.048		
BDSIZE	0.007	0.030	0.614	0.048		
BDIND	-0.001	0.032	-0.391	0.740		
CEODUL	0.006	0.058	0.614	0.530		
ACSIZE	0.008	0.036	1.094	0.280		
ACIND	-0.007	0.037	-0.821	0.406		
ACAEXP	-0.014	0.027	1.013	0.002		
FSIZE	-0.086	0.031	-1.965	0.037		
LEV	0.095	0.048	4.230	0.000		
DUMMY	0.851	0.091	2.054	0.000		
DUMMY_2	0.344	0.030	0.614	0.000		
DUMMY_3	0.354	0.032	0.321	0.000		
R-squared	0.602					
Adjusted R-squared	0.591					
Durbin-Watson stat	2.039					
F-statistic	21.871					
Prob(F-statistic)	0					

Table 5: Regression Analysis

Note: The dependent variable is absolute discretionary accruals; Number of observations is 836.DUMMY is the dummy variables included in the model to remove non-normalities.

Table 5 represents the results of multiple regression analysis based on the random effect model as confirmed by the Hausman specification test. The value of adjusted R-squared 0.591 indicates that all the independent variables in the model jointly represent to the extent of 60% variation of the dependent variable, discretionary accruals. Further, the F-statistic value of 21.871 with the probability value of 0.000 indicates the usefulness and statistical efficiency of the model at a 99% significant level to analyze the collected data.

The results of the regression analysis show that the board size and audit committee accounting expertise are the only variables that affect FRQ. Audit committee accounting expertise depicts a significant negative association on discretionary accruals with a coefficient value of -0.014 (p=0.002), which in turn shows a significant positive relationship on FRQ. Therefore, the last hypothesis of H6 is supported. However, the board size depicts a significant positive association on discretionary accruals with a coefficient value of 0.007 (p=0.048), which in turn shows a significant negative relationship on FRQ. Thus, H1 is not supported.

No other selected CG variables are significantly related to FRQ (p>0.05) measured through the absolute value of discretionary accruals. Hence, it does not support the hypotheses. These findings are similar to Hasan et al. (2020), Majiyebo et al. (2018), Adewale and Babajide (2019),

Khan, Rehman, Zeeshan, and Afridi (2020), Subhasinghe and Kehelwalatenna (2021), Chalaki et al. (2012), Shah et al. (2019), and Uwuigbe et al. (2018). In relation to the control variables, firm size shows a significant negative correlation with a -0.086 value of coefficient (p=0.0370) whereas, leverage represents a significant positive correlation with a 0.095 coefficient (p=0.0000). These findings suggest that the larger firms show a high propensity on increasing FRQ and a firm that records a high degree of leverage is less likely to improve FRQ. The research works of De Silva et al. (2017) and Subhasinghe et al. (2021) have also confirmed similar findings on this in the Sri Lankan context.

According to the regression output, audit committee accounting expertise can be considered as the most important variable to enhance FRQ, as the absence of audit committee accounting expertise might lead to poor FRQ. Similarly, it emphasizes the importance of having a small board size to improve the FRQ. These findings are supported by previous studies in the literature (Alzeban 2019; Hasan et al., 2020). They suggested that, when the audit committee comprises members with a background in accounting and finance, it causes lower levels of discretionary accruals hence, improving FRQ. Further, regression analysis shows that board size negatively affects FRQ through a positive coefficient with ADA. Therefore, it is difficult to prove that the board with a high number of directors enhances the quality of the financial reporting process. Chiedu (2014) and Ibrahim et al. (2018) are some studies that depict similar evidence between board size and FRQ. Nevertheless, some contradictory results were shown by studies of Kankanamge (2015) and Phuong et al. (2020).

Conclusion

The current study examines the association between CG characteristics on FRQ in Sri Lankan listed companies. The study examined six selected CG variables including board size, board independence, CEO duality, audit committee size, audit committee independence, audit committee accounting expertise, and the level of FRQ using the absolute discretionary accruals. Less number of corporate governance-related studies have been carried out in developing economies compared to the developed countries (for example, Alzeban 2019; Amrah et al., 2019; Johl et al., 2013). However, only a few studies have been conducted on the relationship between corporate governance and FRQ in the Sri Lankan context and the evidence of these are inconclusive. Similarly, each study varies one another based on the methodology adopted during the research. Accordingly, this study has become significant to bridge the gap in the literature by providing empirical evidence on the association between corporate governance and FRQ in the Sri Lankan context and the year 2017 to 2020.

The output indicates that all the identified corporate governance characteristics except board size and audit committee accounting expertise do not present a significant relationship with absolute discretionary accruals. These findings were rationalized by getting support from the

extant literature of previous studies (Chalaki et al., 2012; Khan et al., 2020; Madhurangi et al., 2021; Subhasinghe et al., 2021). Furthermore, it emphasizes the importance of the audit committee participants with membership in a recognized professional accounting body and sufficient finance and accounting literacy. That might decrease the number of fraudulent activities, while also enhancing the effectiveness of the internal control process. Another main argument is that these experts provide appropriate advice on the problems relating to finance and reduce the likelihood of an agency problem forming within the organization, as they have considerable financial acumen and knowledge. Therefore, the quality of the financial reporting process improves (De Silva et al., 2017; Hasan et al., 2020; Kibiya et al., 2016). Similarly, findings of the study demonstrate that a larger board tends to be less vigilant, commit less time and effort to review and decision making. So that, the number of directors on the board may not affect enhancing FRQ.

The current study contributes to the literature by bringing the empirical gap on investigating the CG characteristics on FRQ. The findings of this research are expected to have a significant policy implication for policymakers and regulators in terms of formulating strategies and policies on corporate governance best practices in Sri Lanka. Similarly, the findings of the research study should have an important implication for corporate sectors that aim to maximize the corporate value from effective governance mechanisms. Further, relevant regulatory bodies should deeply emphasize enhancing the financial reporting disclosure requirements in the annual reports to provide good insight to the users of the financial statements. For instance, the investors have a good opportunity to get a clear understanding of firms' performance by studying financial reports before making relevant investment decisions. Our study is subject to limitations of the characteristics of a frontier stock market excluding the banking and finance sector due to unique features. The extension of this type of study to other institutional settings may be a direction for future research.

References

- Abdulmalik, S., & Ahmad, A. C. (2016). Audit fees, corporate governance mechanisms, and financial reporting quality in Nigeria. *Business & Economics Review*, 26(1), 122-135.
- Adewale , O., & Babajide , S. (2019). Corporate governance and quality of financial reporting: Nigeria perspective. International Journal of Education Humanities and Social Science, 2(3), 47-56.
- Alzeban , A. (2019). The impact of audit committee, CEO, and external auditor quality on the quality of financial reporting. *Corporate Governance*, 20(2), 263-280.
- Alzoubi, E. S. (2014). Board characterisitics and financial reporting quality: Evidence from Jordan. Corporate Ownership & Control, 11(3), 8-29.

- Amrah , M. R., & Obaid, M. M. (2019). Effective corporate governance mechanisms, ownership structure and financial reporting quality: evidence from Oman. Asia-Pacific Management Accounting Journal, 14(3), 121-154. <u>http://doi.org10.24191/apmai.v14i3.967</u>
- Berle, A. A., & Means, G. (1932). The modern corporation and private property. United States: Transaction Publishers.
- Chalaki, P., Didar, H., & Riahinezhad, M. (2012). Corporate governance attributes and financial reporting quality: Empirical evidence from Iran. International Journal of Business and Social Science, 3(15), 223-229.
- Chiedu, V. (2014). Board dynamics and financial reporting quality in Nigeria. Review of International Comparative Management, 15(2), 226-236.
- Cohen, J., Krishnamoorthy, G., & Wright, A. (2004). The corporate governance mosaic and financial reporting quality. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 87-152. Retrived From https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228308007_The_Corporate_Governance_Mosaic_and_Financial_Reporting_Quality
- Cooray, T., Gunarathne , A. N., & Senaratne , S. (2020). Does corporate governance affect the quality of integrated reporting? *Sustainability*, 1-30. <u>http://doi.org/10.3390/su12104262</u>
- De Silva, A. S., Manawaduge, A., & Ajward, R. (2017). The relationship between selected corporate governance mechanisms and degree of earnings management in selected Sri Lankan listed companies. *CA Journal of Applied Research, 1*(1), 38-56.
- Dechow, P. M., Sloan, R. G., & Sweeney, A. P. (1995). Detecting earnings management. The Accounting Review, 70(2), 193-225.
- Donaldson, L. (1990). The ethereal hand: Organizational economics and management theory. The Academy of Management Review, 15(3), 369-381.
- Donaldson, L., & Davis, J. H. (1991). Stewardship theory or agency theory: CEO governance and shareholder returns. Australian Journal of Management, 16(1), 49-64.
- Donaldson, L., & Davis, J. H. (1994). Boards and company performance Research challenges the conventional wisdom. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 2(3), 151-160.
- Eyenubo, S. A., Mohammed , M., & Ali , M. (2017). Audit committee effectiveness of financial reporting quality in listed companies in Nigeria Stock Exchange. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 7(6), 487-505. http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v7-i6/3006
- Fama, E. F. (1980). Agency problem and the theory of the firm. *Journal of Political Economy, 88*(2), 288-307.

- Goodstein, J., Gautam, K., & Boeker, W. (1994). The Effects of Board Size and Diversity on Strategic Change. Strategic Management Journal, 15(3), pp.241–250.
- Hasan, S., Kassim, A. A., & Hamid, M. A. (2020). The impact of audit quality, audit committee and financial reporting quality: Evidence from Malaysia. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 10(5), 272-281. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.32479/ijefi.10136</u>
- Hassan, S. U. (2011). Corporate governance and financial reporting quality: A study of Nigerian money deposit banks. International Journal of Research in Computer Application & Management, 1(6), 12-19. Retrived From <u>www.ijrcm.org.in</u>
- Ibrahim, M. A., & Jehu, P. (2018). The effect of board composition on the informativeness of financial reporting quality: Empirical evidence from Nigeria. *Journal of Business and Management*, 20(3), 54-60. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.9790/487X-2003015460</u>
- Jensen , M. C., & Meckling , W. H. (1976). Theory of the Firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305-360.
- Johl, S. K., Johl, S. K., Subramaniam, N., & Cooper, B. (2013). Internal audit function, board quality and financial reporting quality: Evidence from Malaysia. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 28(9), 780-814. <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/MAJ-06-2013-0886</u>
- Kankanamage, C. A. (2015). The relationship between board characteristics and earnings management: Evidence from Sri Lankan listed companies. *Kelaniya Journal of Management*, 4(2), 36-43. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4038/kjm.v4i2.7499</u>
- Kankanamge, C. A., & Shantha, K. V. A. (2015). The impact of audit committee to enhance the financial reporting quality and transperancy: Evidence from Sri Lankan listed firms [Paper presentation]. International Research Symposium, Rajarata University of Sri Lanka.
- Khan, S., Rehman, A., Zeeshan, M., & Afridi, F. E. (2020). Effect of corporate governance on financial reporting quality: Evidence from listed textile sector firms on Pakistan stock exchange. *Journal of Management Research*, 6(1), 51-64.
- Kibiya, M. U., Che-Ahmad, A., & Amran, N. A. (2016). International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 6(S7), 125-131.
- Madhurangi, M., & Abeygunasekera, A. (2021). The impact of audit committee effectiveness and audit quality on financial reporting quality: The case of Sri Lankan banking sector. *Global Review of Accounting and Finance, 12*(1), 1-15.
- Majiyebo, O. J., Okpanachi, J., Nyor, T., Yahaya, O. A., & Mohammed, A. (2018). Audit committee independence, size and financial reporting quality of listed deposit money banks In Nigeria. *Journal of Business and Management*, 20(2), 40-47.

- Manawaduge, A. S. P. G. 2012, 'Corporate governance practices and their impact on corporate performance in emerging market: the case of Sri Lanka' (University of Wollongong thesis collections).
- Nuraini, A. (2015). The role corporate governance on financial reporting's quality: Evidence from Indonesia stock exchange. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 6(6), 128-133.
- Onuorah, Chi-Chi A., & Friday, I. O. (2016). Corporate governance and financial reporting quality in selected Nigerian company. *International Journal of Management Science and Business Administration*, 2(3), 7-16.
- Paulinus, E. C., Oluchukwu, N., & Somtochukwu, O. (2017). Empirical investigation of corporate governance and financial reporting quality of quoted companies in Nigeria. *International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Research, 1* (5), 117-137.
- Pfeffer, J., & Salancik, G. R. (1978). The external control of organizations: A resource dependence perspective. Stanford, California: Stanford University Press.
- Phuong , N. T., & Hung, D. N. (2020). Board of directors and financial reporting quality in Vietnam listed companies. International Journal of Financial Research, 11(4), 296-305. <u>https://doi.org/10.5430/ijfr.v11n4p296</u>
- Ross, S. A. (1973). The economic theory of agency: The principal's problem. *The American Economic Review*, 63(2), 134-139.
- Senaratne, S., & Gunaratne, P. S. M. (2008). Corporate governance development in Sri Lanka: Prospects and problems [Paper presentation]. International Research Conference on Management and Finance, University of Colombo.
- Sepasi, S., Deilami, Z. D., & Tavakoli, S. M. (2017). Internal audit, board of directors and financial reporting quality. International Journal of Finance and Managerial Accounting, 2(8), 63-75. Retrived from <u>https://www.sid.ir/en/Journal/ViewPaper.aspx?ID=599637</u>
- Shah, S. F., Rashid, A., & Shahzad, F. (2019). Does board structure improve financial reporting quality? Evidence of real earnings manipulation among Pakistani firms. Abasyn Journal of Social Sciences, 12(2), 311-328. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.34091/AJSS.12.2.09</u>
- Shankaraiah, K., & Amiri, S. M. (2017). Audit committee quality and financial reporting quality: A study of selected Indian companies. *Journal of Accounting and Business Dynamics*, 4(1), 1-18. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.24815/jdab.v4i1.6653</u>
- Subhasinghe, J., & Kehelwalatenna, S. (2021). Impact of corporate governance on earnings management: Evidence from Sri Lankan listed companies. *Global Review of Accounting* and Finance, 12(1), 58-74.

- Trai, D. N., Kha, T. M., Trung, L. N., & Dung, N. T. (2018, June 7-8). The impact of corporate governance on financial reporting quality: empirical evidence from Vietnam [Paper presentation]. The 4th International Conference on Accounting and Finance, Danang City, Vietnam.
- Uwuigbe , U., Felix , E. D., Uwuigbe , O. R., Teddy , O., & Irene , F. (2018). Corporate governance and quality of financial statements: a study of listed Nigerian banks. Banks and Bank Systems, 13(3), 12-23. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.21511/bbs.13(3).2018.02</u>
- Weerasinghe, W. A., & Ajward, R. (2017). Issues of corporate governance practices in Sri Lanka: Perceptons of professionals. CA Journal of Applied Research, 1(1), 1-19. Retrived from <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/335883052_ISSUES_OF_CORPORATE_G</u> <u>OVERNANCE_PRACTICES_IN_SRI_LANKA_PERCEPTIONS_OF_PROFESSIONA</u> <u>LS</u>
- Zábojníková, G. (2016). The Audit Committee Characteristics and Firm Performance: Evidence from the UK.

Appendix A

	Table A1: Summary of	the empirical evidence
Author and year	Country and	Results
	description of the study	
Onuorah and Chi-Chi	Nigeria, during the	Positive relationship between board
(2016)	period of year 2006-	size and FRQ while, negative
	2015	relationship between board
		independence, AC size and FRQ
Chalaki, Didar, and	Iran, listed companies	No relationship between corporate
Riahinezhad (2012)	in Tehran stock	governance attributes (including board
	exchange during the	size, board independence) and FRQ
	period of 2003-2011	
Alzoubi (2014)	Jordan, 86 industrial	Negative correlation between salient
	listed companies in the	board features and earnings
	Amman stock exchange	management measured through
	for the years 2008-	discretionary accruals other than CEO
	2010	duality
Majiyebo, Okpanachi,	Nigeria, 15 listed	Negative relationship between AC
Nyor, Yahaya, and	money deposit banks	independence and discretionary
Mohammed (2018)	over a period of ten	accruals whereas, no relationship
	years 2007-2016	between AC size and discretionary
		accruals
Phuong and Hung	Vietnam, listed energy	Board size, board independence and
(2020)	enterprises in Vietnam	CEO have positive influence on FRQ
	stock market from	
	2010-2018, 2162	
	observations	
Ibrahim and Jehu	Nigeria, 576 Nigerian	Negative association between board
(2018)	firms between 2011-	independence and abnormal accruals
	2016	and no relationship between board
		size and abnormal accruals

Kankanamge and	Sri Lanka, listed firms	A strong negative relationship betweer
Shantha (2015)	during the period of	audit committee characteristics and
	2012-2015	discretionary accruals
Madurangi and	Sri Lankan banking	No relationship between audit
Abeygunasekara	sector, 30 licensed	committee effectiveness (measured
(2021)	commercial and	through AC size, AC meetings
	specialized banks during	frequency, AC financial / accounting
	2014-2018	expertise) and FRQ